



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JO
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,874	06/30/2003	Francisco Bordier Leal		7094
7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
Francisco Bordier Leal 317 Olivia Cir El Paso, TX 79912			HUYNH, KHOA D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/607,874	BORDIER LEAL, FRANCISCO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Khoa D. Huynh	3751	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2003.
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. .
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 (including the seven items listed with numeral 1-7) is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim has not been drafted in accordance with the US practice. A claim should begin with a capital letter and end with a period (see attached US patents for example of how a claim should be drafted). Appropriate correction is strongly suggested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1, as best understood since the claim only recites the elements individually without any structural connection between these elements and how they are function with respect to one another, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huff (2619655) in view of Ford (5524295).

The Huff reference discloses a ventilation system for a toilet. The system includes a suction duct (at 10), an expulsion duct (at 21), an air chamber (the inlet portion connected to suction duct 10), a electric wire or cable (at 19) connected to a switch (at 18), and an air suction pump (at 17,12, even though elements 17 and 12 are motor and fan, they can be construe as a "pump" mechanism since it sucks air at the inlet end and expels it on the opposite end).

The suction duct, expulsion duct, air chamber, the switch and the air suction pump are integrated in the toilet bowl (Fig. 1). As shown in Figure 1, the pump (17,12) is installed inside the toilet bowl (about 11). The contaminated air is expelled through the expulsion duct into the sewer.

The Huff reference DIFFERS in that it does not specifically include an extra toilet seat for children and an elevated platform to support the feet as claimed. Attention, however, is directed to the Ford reference which discloses a toilet (20) having an extra toilet seat for children (at 12) and an elevated platform (at 18) to support the feet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Huff reference by employing an extra toilet seat for children and an elevated platform to support the feet, in view of the teaching of Ford, so that a child can practice potty training using an adult toilet.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sim, Bennett and Ratanangsu were cited to show a toilet ventilation system having a suction duct, an expulsion duct, an air chamber, a switch and an air suction pump are integrated in the toilet bowl.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-5483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Khoa D. Huynh
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3751

HK
08/23/2004